	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	09/926,366	KONIGER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	D. Lawrence Tarazano	1773
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative,	PTO personnel):	
1) <u>D. Lawrence Tarazano</u> .	(3)	
2) <u>Stephan Koschmieder</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 February 2004.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applican	e nt 2)⊠ applicant's representa	ative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Year of Y	es e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: rejected claims of record.		•
Identification of prior art discussed: Art of record.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reach	ed. g)⊠ was not reached. h)[□ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the government, or any other comments: The examiner and maintained the rejections on some of the claims. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where allowable is available, a summary thereof must be at THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFF	the applicants' representative distance of the applicants which the examine e no copy of the amendments that tached.) FICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE	scussed why the examiner had r agreed would render the claims at would render the claims THE SUBSTANCE OF THE
INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATI FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEM Summary of Record of Interview requirements on revo	to the last Office action has alre E, OR THE MAILING DATE OF MENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF	THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required